**Research Proposal.** Orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) dysfunction is a consistent neuropathology that underlies aberrant and inflexible behaviors that occur in many disorders of compulsivity such as cocaine addiction12, and obsessive-compulsive disorder3. OFC function is thought to represent a cognitive map of state space4, a mental map of the predictive relationships between cues, behavior, and outcomes that can guide behavior. Using a reversal learning task, subjects with a history of cocaine use exhibit repetitive and inflexible behavior5 and impoverished representations of task structure in OFC6. However, in a typical reversal learning task, features of the task that identify task-state specific information are confounded with other features such as changes in cue-reward relationships, temporal order, and behavioral inhibition, which have all been proposed as OFC functions7. Therefore, it is unclear whether cocaine use disrupts accurate representations of task states in OFC that are necessary for flexible behavior in reversal learning tasks. Occasion setting (OS) tasks share many features with reversal learning tasks but can be used to isolate the neural correlates of cognitive map representations by using explicit cues to signal cognitive map changes. Here I will address the question of whether a history of cocaine use disrupts cognitive map representations in OFC that are necessary for behavioral flexibility by combining electrophysiology with experimental manipulation of cocaine use during an OS task. Once established, I will test whether behavioral flexibility and OFC function can be restored using a novel pharmacotherapy for cocaine addiction. This will provide an important translational step towards understanding and treating disorders of compulsivity.

**Aim 1. Determine whether remapping of task representations in OFC during OS is disrupted in rats with a history of cocaine use.** Rats will undergo a cocaine self-administration procedure known to cause OFC dysfunction and behavioral inflexibility5,6, or a sucrose self-administration control. After a withdrawal period, these two groups of rats will be implanted with microelectrodes targeting OFC and trained on an occasion setting (OS) task. This approach will allow me to isolate cognitive map dependent task representations in OFC, test whether they are disrupted after cocaine use, and confirm that these representations are related to behavioral flexibility.

**Aim 2. Test whether a novel D3-antagonist can effectively recover impaired behavioral flexibility and its neural correlates in OFC in cocaine treated rats.** Rats will undergo the same procedure described in Aim 1, except that prior to each OS training session, half the rats in each group will receive injections of vehicle or the selective D3-antagonist VK4-1168,9. This will allow me to test whether a novel drug therapy can effectively recover impaired behavioral flexibility and its neural correlates in OFC caused by cocaine use.

**Significance.** Disturbances to OFC function and deficits in reversal learning, a marker of behavioral inflexibility, are both remarkably consistent features of many disorders of compulsivity in patients as well as translational animal models10–12.

Compulsive and neurotypical behaviours do not occur in a vacuum, but instead are guided by informative cues in our environment13. Activity in OFC is thought to represent the meaningful states signaled by these cues in terms of a cognitive map of state space used to navigate goal-directed behavior4. OFC dysfunction is a common neuropathology in most disorders of compulsivity, and the behavioral inflexibility characteristic of these disorders is thought to reflect deficits in the creation and maintenance of these cognitive map representations in OFC. Key support for this comes from studies from this lab showing that rats with a history of cocaine use, known to cause compulsivity, exhibit inflexible behavior in reversal learning tasks and have impoverished cognitive map representations in OFC5,6,14. In a typical reversal learning task, subjects first learn to discriminate responding to a rewarded (A+) and non-rewarded (B-) cue, and then these cue-outcome relationships are reversed i.e. A- and B+. Changes in behavior after a reversal can be made more rapid by changing the underlying cognitive map to allow learning of the new relationship between cue and outcome states, without requiring unlearning of the old. However, since the reversal is signaled to the subject by a change in cue-reward associations, representations of cognitive maps in OFC cannot be disentangled from simple task features such as changes in reward. Further, this approach confounds time and recognition of its passage, and leaves the decision whether to even create alternative maps up to the subject. The argument is that OFC function is necessary for rapid reversal learning because it facilitates the creation, maintenance or use of these alternative maps; however, it could equally well be argued (and in fact has) that this deficit, viewed in isolation, is simply due to slower learning or deficits in response inhibition.

To resolve these issues, it is necessary to use a behavioral approach that dissociates the cue that triggers changes in the map being used from these other features and requires mapping for successful performance. One such task, that shares many features of reversal learning, is occasion setting (**OS**). In an OS task, subjects are presented with a sequence of two discrete cues, first an OS cue which indicates whether a second target cue predicts reward i.e. OS -> Target -> Reward (Figure 1). For example, target cue A is rewarded on X -> A+ trials, and non-rewarded on Y-> A- trials. This creates two cue-reward maps similar to the alternative maps that might be used in rapid reversal learning. However, unlike reversal learning, both maps are learned from the start and map switching on a trial-by-trial basis is signaled by an overt OS cue i.e. X -> {A+, B-, C+, D-} and Y -> {A-, B+, C-, D+}. Therefore, depending on whether a trial starts with X or Y, the same target cue (e.g. A) signals two distinct states (A+ or A-) in separate cognitive maps. An OS task can therefore isolate neural representations of distinct map states unconfounded by changes in reinforcement. Notably, unlike reversal learning, all cues are followed equally by reward and non-reward.

Drug compounds that target dopamine D3-receptor antagonists have significant potential to treat aberrant behaviors in disorders of compulsivity that are also characterized by dysfunction of dopaminergic signaling1,8. VK4-116 is a highly selective D3-antagonist is a promising compound that reduces psychostimulant use and relapse behaviors in rodent models8, and exhibits higher selectivity and affinity for D3-receptors and fewer adverse cardiovascular side effects than previously available ligands. However, its efficacy in treating long-term behavioral inflexibility caused by a history of cocaine use has not been tested.

Here I will manipulate a prior history of cocaine in rats to create a model of behavioral inflexibility and OFC dysfunction in disorders of compulsivity. Using in-vivo single-cell recording in OFC during an OS task I will answer the question: Do reversal learning deficits in rats with a history of cocaine reflect impoverished cognitive map representations in OFC? Once established, I will test the efficacy of VK4-116 to treat behavioral inflexibility, and its neural correlates in OFC, in cocaine experienced rats. These findings will advance our understanding of how OFC dysfunction contributes to behavioral inflexibility in disorders of compulsivity, and how they might be treated.


**Experiment 1.**

Hypothesis: A history of cocaine use causes behavioral inflexibility in tasks like reversal learning and OS by disrupting the formation and accuracy of cognitive map representations in the OFC.

Predictions: Behavioral accuracy in an OS task will correlate with the strength and fidelity of cognitive map representations such that more distinct cognitive map representations will predict higher behavioral accuracy. Furthermore, rats with a history of cocaine use will have lower behavioral accuracy and less distinct cognitive representations in OFC compared to control rats.

Procedure: Long Evans rats will undergo a standard cocaine (n = 8) or sucrose (n = 8) control self-administration protocol for 2 weeks followed by 30 days of withdrawal5. Rats will then be water deprived and given standard pretraining to become familiar with responding for odors and 10% sucrose reward in behavioral testing chambers. Next, drivable microelectrodes will be implanted in OFC to record neural activity according to established lab procedures15. Following recovery, rats will be trained with a novel set of cues on the OS task outlined in Figure 1. On each trial, the rat will initiate cue presentation by entering the odor port, then a brief auditory cue (1000 ms) followed by an odor (500 ms) will be presented. On rewarded trials, responding to the food port below the odor port will be rewarded. Correct performance will be defined as entering the food port on rewarded trials and withholding responding on non-rewarded trials. Each session will consist of 25 presentations of each trial type, presented in pseudorandom order. Criterion accuracy will be defined as 75% accuracy in a session. Neural analyses presented here will focus on sessions with criterion accuracy.

The primary behavioral measure will be the number of sessions to reach criterion accuracy for 3 sessions in a row. Session to criterion will be compared between control and cocaine rats using a Poisson mixed-effects ANOVA model appropriate for count data.

******Neural activity will be processed using methods established for analyzing activity in prior work in similar tasks6,16. It is difficult to discuss all the possible results from an electrophysiological experiment such as this, so only key analyses and predictions will be presented below. Analyses will examine both single-unit and population level neural correlates of task features expected to reflect aspects of cognitive map representations. This will be achieved by comparing neural activity between trial conditions that require different cognitive maps i.e. differential activity during cues X and Y, as well as during cues A+ vs A-, B- vs B+, C+ vs C-, and D- vs D+. I will define the strength of unique state representations as, for example, the proportion of single units that selectively increase firing to one of these cue conditions, or the percentage accuracy of a classifier to accurately predict which cue was presented on a given trial. These measures represent two of the main methods to classify these neural correlates at the single-unit and population level.

***Figure 1.*** Proposed occasion setting (OS) task design. *(Left)* On each trial, OS cues X and Y uniquely identify whether the following Target cue (A-D) predicts reward (+) or no reward (-). *(Right)* Cognitive map illustration of task structure predicted in OFC representations.

**Expected Results.** Given the hypothesis that activity in OFC reflects cognitive map representations rather than simply cue-reward value learning, I expect unique neural representations that discriminate between OS cues X and Y. Cues X and Y do not differ in predicting whether the next cue is A, B, C, or D, or whether the trial will be rewarded. Therefore, differential representations of cues X and Y in OFC would not be predicted by expected outcome value or identity accounts of OFC function. However, while consistent with a cognitive map representation in OFC, these differences might reflect the distinct physical properties of X and Y. Next, to rule out this possibility I will compare activity between the target cues that come after cues X and Y, that is A+ vs A-, B- vs B+, C+ vs C-, and D- vs D+. For example, when cue A is presented, differential activity to A+ vs A- must reflect information about future reward based on whether the previous cue was X or Y, i.e. a unique state/position along a path within a cognitive map. Importantly, this rules out the possibility that the OFC simply represents a cue’s physical properties or the cue’s predicted outcome value or identity. Furthermore, if OS cues signal which cognitive map to use to correctly interpret the meaning of the target cues, then more accurate/unique representations of OS cues X and Y will predict more accurate/unique representations of target cues A-D on rewarded vs non-rewarded trials. Together, these three analyses can determine the strength and accuracy of the neural correlates of cognitive maps i.e. differential representation of (1) OS cues X vs Y and (2) target cues on rewarded vs non-rewarded trials (A+ vs A-, B- vs B+, C+ vs C-, D- vs D+), and (3) the correlation between them.

Additionally, if cognitive map representations in OFC reflect abstract task states, then I predict non-differential representations of different physical cues that share the same meaning within a cognitive map. Specifically, representations of cues A and C, as well as B and D, should not be distinct as they both predict reward and non-reward equally after X and Y.

Given the hypothesis that the accuracy of cognitive map representations in OFC are necessary for behavioral flexibility, I predict that behavioral accuracy for an individual rat on an individual session will be predicted by the fidelity of their cognitive map representations. That is, for each of the three neural correlates described above, stronger correlates of cognitive map representations will predict higher behavioral accuracy in a given session or portion of a session.

I predict that cocaine experienced rats will require more sessions to learn the OS task to criterion accuracy compared to control rats. This would be consistent with the hypothesis that reversal learning deficits in cocaine experienced rats reflects a general impairment in behavioral flexibility and not simply an inability to detect that cue-reward relationships change over time. Furthermore, during neural recording sessions with criterion levels of performance, I predict that the three neural correlates of cognitive maps in OFC will be significantly lower in cocaine rats compared to control rats. These neural correlates of cognitive maps should also correlate with accuracy on a given session, similar to previous reports in reversal learning6. It is possible that cocaine rats will not show deficits behavioral flexibility in the OS task, or in the neural correlates of cognitive map representation in OFC. This would suggest that the deficits in reversal learning in cocaine experienced rats do not reflect deficits in cognitive map representations and instead reflect impairments such as value representations or inhibitory control. If this is found, the OS task conditions in this design allows for these alternative accounts to be tested.

**Experiment 2.**

Procedure: I will use the same procedure described in Experiment 1, except that sucrose and cocaine groups will receive an injection of either vehicle (25% 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin) or VK4-116 (15 mg/kg, i.p.) 15 mins prior to each OS session, i.e. four groups (n = 8 each), sucrose/vehicle, sucrose/VK4-116, cocaine/vehicle, and cocaine/VK4-116.

Expected results: In cocaine rats,VK4-116 is expected to mitigate the behavioral inflexibility and underlying disturbances to cognitive map representations in OFC described in Experiment 1, that is, cocaine/VK4-116 will be more similar to sucrose/vehicle. Of relevance in interpreting any effect will be whether VK4-116 affects behavior or cognitive map representations in sucrose control rats. This will indicate whether VK4-116 generally promotes behavioral flexibility, or if it is treating the specific impairments induced by a history of cocaine use. Previous findings suggest that VK4-116 will neither facilitate nor impair a variety of control behaviors17. It is also possible that VK4-116 will only treat behavior but not disrupted OFC representations in cocaine rats. This would suggest that a different target system is being affected, such as striatum which has also been implicated in disorders of compulsivity.

***Alternative strategies, pitfalls and future directions.*** One possible outcomeis that rats pretreated with cocaine will not show behavioral deficits and/or their neural correlates in OFC. While this is unlikely, this finding would still provide interesting and meaningful information that address aim 1. In this scenario, subjects in Experiment 1 will be retrained at the end of the OS task on a reversal learning task to replicate previous findings from the lab6, as a positive control. If this is the case, then I will also use this established reversal task to assess the treatment efficacy of VK4-116 in Exp 2 while still addressing Aim 2.

**References**

1. Volkow, N. D. & Fowler, J. S. Addiction, a disease of compulsion and drive: Involvement of the orbitofrontal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* **10**, 318–325 (2000).

2. Lucantonio, F., Stalnaker, T. A., Shaham, Y., Niv, Y. & Schoenbaum, G. The impact of orbitofrontal dysfunction on cocaine addiction. *Nat. Neurosci.* **15**, 358–366 (2012).

3. Graybiel, A. M. & Rauch, S. L. Toward a Neurobiology Review of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Dysfunction of the basal ganglia and associated cor. *Neuron* **28**, 343–347 (2000).

4. Wilson, R. C., Takahashi, Y. K., Schoenbaum, G. & Niv, Y. Orbitofrontal cortex as a cognitive map of task space. *Neuron* **81**, 267–279 (2014).

5. Calu, D. J. *et al.* Withdrawal from cocaine self-administration produces long-lasting deficits in orbitofrontal-dependent reversal learning in rats. *Learn. Mem.* **14**, 325–328 (2007).

6. Stalnaker, T. A., Roesch, M. R., Franz, T. M., Burke, K. A. & Schoenbaum, G. Abnormal associative encoding in orbitofrontal neurons in cocaine-experienced rats during decision-making. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **24**, 2643–2653 (2006).

7. Stalnaker, T. A., Cooch, N. K. & Schoenbaum, G. What the orbitofrontal cortex does not do. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**, 620–627 (2015).

8. Newman, A. H., Ku, T., Jordan, C. J., Bonifazi, A. & Xi, Z. X. New Drugs, Old Targets: Tweaking the Dopamine System to Treat Psychostimulant Use Disorders. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **61**, 609–628 (2021).

9. Kumar, V. *et al.* Highly selective dopamine D3 receptor (D3R) antagonists and partial agonists based on eticlopride and the D3R crystal structure: New leads for opioid dependence treatment. *J. Med. Chem.* **59**, 7634–7650 (2016).

10. Izquierdo, A. D. & Jentsch, J. D. Reversal learning as a measure of impulsive and compulsive behavior in addictions. *Psychopharmacol.* **219**, 607–620 (2012).

11. Schoenbaum, G. & Shaham, Y. The role of orbitofrontal cortex in drug addiction: a review of preclinical studies. *Biol Psychiatry* **63**, 256–262 (2008).

12. Remijnse, P. L. *et al.* Reduced orbitofrontal-striatal activity on a reversal learning task in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **63**, 1225–1236 (2006).

13. Pitman, R. K. A cybernetic model of obsessive-compulsive psychopathology. *Compr. Psychiatry* **28**, 334–343 (1987).

14. Lucantonio, F. *et al.* Orbitofrontal activation restores insight lost after cocaine use. *Nat. Neurosci.* **17**, 1092–1099 (2014).

15. Roesch, M. R., Stalnaker, T. A. & Schoenbaum, G. Associative encoding in anterior piriform cortex versus orbitofrontal cortex during odor discrimination and reversal learning. *Cereb. Cortex* **17**, 643–652 (2007).

16. Zhou, J. *et al.* Evolving schema representations in orbitofrontal ensembles during learning. *Nature* **590**, 606–611 (2021).

17. You, Z. B. *et al.* Dopamine D3R antagonist VK4-116 attenuates oxycodone self-administration and reinstatement without compromising its antinociceptive effects. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **44**, 1415–1424 (2019).